

VALE Executive Committee Meeting

February 19, 2016

Rutgers University

Present: J. Avrin, L. Beninghove, J. Buschman, M. Chute, J. Cohn, H. Craven, C. Daze, G. Fallon, T. Pavlovsky, S. Prupis, K. Schalk-Greene, G. Schmidt, R. Sweeney, C. Van Houten, J. Toth

1. Call to Order / Welcome & Minutes of the February 5, 2016 Meeting

- Joe Toth convened the meeting.
- Minutes of the February 5, 2016 meeting were approved.
- Toth noted that since this is the second meeting in February there is no Budget Report.
- The meeting is devoted to a discussion of VALID.

2. VALID Discussion

- Taras Pavlovsky reported that a VALID update was delivered to LLNJ per the terms of the MOU. The funds provided by LLNJ have to be used in an achievable way within 18 months. The two goals originally established are now irrelevant:
 - Setting up the consortial rules table based on MLIS rules.
 - Universal borrowing project between Rutgers and NJIT.
 - VOSC met yesterday and discussed alternative projects but given uncertainty with changes at OLE were unable to establish concrete ideas.
- Pavlovsky presented several budget scenarios for discussion.
- Implementation questions:
 - Do nothing and wait for changes before implementation?
 - Implement now and wait for changes?
 - Unable to give recommendation to VALE at this time.
 - If an institution needs to change system today are there known ILS systems available now that should be avoided in order to easily migrate to OLE down the road.
- Judy Cohn advised that Rutgers won't be ready to actively consider OLE for two years.
 - EC move to OLE is not on the planning horizon. Many changes are in flux.
 - Rutgers needs a new ILS.

- Concern that OLE development cycle may not be shaping up as quickly as will be needed. Will OLE be ready and taking the form that Rutgers needs?
 - Need to consider the development costs associated to support OLE and balance with internal infrastructure priorities.
 - Concerns about demands on manpower.
 - Decided: asked Cohn to speak with Krisellen Maloney regarding making her aware of the value propositions we associate with VAID and ask her to consider them when they vet ILS/OLS.
 - Question: VALE membership approved VALID with the understanding that Rutgers would participate. If Rutgers is out it changes the picture. Does VALE need to reaffirm support of VALID if Rutgers pulls out?
- Some questions raised among membership about value of VALE. If an institution is not interested in VALID does VALE still have value if they can get database discounts elsewhere?
 - Rich Sweeney read off the original VALID goals and suggested they be shared with the membership.

The next meeting is scheduled for March 10, 2016.

Minutes prepared by: J. Avrin